

I am obliged to you for the corrections in
 journals etc, and beg you to continue in the same
 way. Your paper on Ehrenberg's plants is
 very interesting to me, as I determine ^{most of} them
 independently and am glad to see that you generally
 came to the same point. I should like to see
 encouraged this collector, and would (with
 my limited means) willingly contribute to it, if
 he continues laboring in that interesting corner
 of Mexico.

Believe me to be, Dear Sir, as ever
 yours most cordially & gratefully.

Fendler's Venezuela-plants.

2054. *Cordia Gerardianum* Jacq. var.
 2374. - *Colocotron* G. ex H.
 2061. - *reticulata* V.
 898. *Hypoxis capitata* Jacq.
 897. - *globifera* Kunz.?
 - *bravipes* Post.
 899. - *excella* Mart. Gal.
 895. - *maritima* ^{affinis}
 2059. - *maritima* ^{affinis}
 2058. *Gerardia* aff. *G. bicolor* Rth.
 893. *Hedroma* ?? is *Sabia heptanervis*
 2057. *Lippia* aff. *L. graveolens* Rth.
 842. *Citharactium* n. sp.
 2027. *Asyphista* sp.
 843. *Asyphista salutaris* Rth.

Göttingen, 23 Dec. 1861.

My Dear Sir,

I have to acknowledge two favours of yours
 of Oct. 4. and Nov. 29., which arrived in due
 time, while the two packages (containing Wright's
 and Fendler's plants sent via Leipzig) reached Göttingen
 only a few days ago. Accordingly I have caused
 to pay by my Hamburg agent to Mr. Nading
 on your account for Fendler's plants 12 3/10 #
 - Wright's - 9 7/10
 22 #

As you left to me the calculation of Wright's
 plants, from a hasty glance I considered of
 the series of 143 sp. about 120 sp. as not before
 sent and 30 as Duplicates, which will go to that
 amount (8 # the century): but if it turns out,
 that the number of Duplicates is less, I shall
 notice it and pay an addition, if required.

I have copied the Diagnosis of Wright's *Monocotylae*:
 and though it would certainly have been
 better to wait for this new list to revise the manu-
 script, according to your desire and being afraid
 that our correspondence might be interrupted by war,
 I sent it to you by the American consulate at
 Bremen, which informs me, that my letter with ^{the}
 by a Hamburg steamer which leaves on 29th Dec.

Thus you will have the whole of my *Schinus*
nations of *N. Wrightiana*, except the *Monarda*
lyrata, which will only require little space,
the *Orchids* and ferns having been determined by others:
I think it therefore appropriate, not to print
the word part of my paper but as a whole,
containing the *Monardas* and those *Monarda*
which have not been published elsewhere. I may
send the ^{determinations of} *Monardas* in letters by and by,
as well as supplementary notes on the last set.

In *Rubiaceae* I have united no. 254., which
I could not *Schinus*. From habit it is certainly
no *holosanthus*, but rather more resembling *latifolia*
leuca: but the very specimen there is only a single
fruit, which I would not desire to know the number
of seeds.

As to *Amphistelma* I should like to have a
bit of the Florida species, which I do not possess.
In Jamaica there are two species of this genus, the
second probably, *Cynanchum Junceum* Mill., and *Amphistelma*
linearifolium Rich. ex. t. 97. is perhaps a third
species.

As to *Cicca antiana*, I could not find
specific characters to make more of the forms than
varieties, and I can say, that I know of
several instances in various tropical woods, where
apparently identical
the same species appears as a climber at shrub
or tree, which differs from the cultivated most

certainly appears as conclusive for specific difference.
I do however not pretend, that in this case *Wright*
Wright may not be right, but consider it as
a sound principle, to regard forms in the same
genus as long as varieties as *leuca*, tangible characters
to distinguish them have not been verified.

What you send me in your last letter of "*Wright's*
Glutians", one is apparently the same *Vogelia* he
sent before, but the three other forms are *German-*
niaceae to be *Schinus* later. His handwriting
is difficult to decipher, but I see, that he agrees
with the 3 copies, which are conclusive that these
plants do not belong to *Glutians*.

If you have a seed of *Phacelia duplicata*
(no. 53.), when the embryo is developed, pray me
whether it is undivided, or send me one in your
next letter. You are aware, that Mr. *Manchon* has
expressed me on account of this determination in
the *Annales des sciences*: but I shall answer
him, and am able to show that he is wrong.

I beg you to put bits of *Yucca pumila*,
and a dried North American form (*vij. robusta*,
Lilliana, *granulosa*) in your next letter. I have
revised the genus, taken publishing some critical
remarks on *Wright's* monograph, and believe to have
shown that your determination of the shrubby
species are the correct ones, but should like to
judge from original specimens.